

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,424	01/11/2006	Sandra Del Corso	FR 030078	8970	
94737 7599 95/10/2016 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			AHN, SAM K		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564.424 DEL CORSO, SANDRA Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SAM K. AHN 2611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/564,424

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show
every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "spatial filtering
step" recited in claim 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,424 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

2. The elements in Figs.1 and 2 need to have descriptive label, in conformance with 37

CFR 1.84(n) and 1.84(o). For example, a descriptive label of "deblocking filter"

should be inserted into 214 in Fig.1 to properly describe the element.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 1 and 2, line 7-8, "a so-called input" should be "an input".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites an encoding device while it appears that the claim is depending on a

method claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is unclear whether the applicants intend to

claim a method claim or an apparatus claim, thus fails to particularly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/564,424

Art Unit: 2611

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Agarwal US 5,539,663.

Regarding claim 1. AAPA discloses an encoding method applied to an input video sequence comprising successive frames partitioned in subframes, said method comprising at least the following steps of : estimating a motion vector for each subframe of the current frame to be encoded (217 in Fig.1); transforming, quantizing and coding a so-called input residual signal (112 and 113); on the basis of the signals obtained after the quantizing step, generating a predicted frame by means of at least an inverse quantizing step, an inverse transform step and an adding step (211, 212 and 213); on the basis of said predicted frame and the motion vectors respectively associated to the subframes, generating a motion-compensated predicted frame (output of 218); by difference between the current frame and said motion-compensated predicted frame, generating said input residual signal (output of 111). However, AAPA does not further disclose said encoding method being further characterized in that the predicted frame generating step is followed by a temporal filtering sub-step carried out on the predicted frame, before the motion compensated predicted frame generating step. Agarwal discloses said encoding method being further characterized in that the predicted frame generating step is

Application/Control Number: 10/564,424

Art Unit: 2611

followed by a temporal filtering sub-step carried out on the predicted frame, before the motion compensated predicted frame generating step (temporal filtering sub-step in step 432 is performed before generating reference frame). Agarwal further discloses that temporal filtering reduces compression artifacts (note c.19, I.50-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Agarwal in the system of AAPA by having the temporal filter in the path to generate the predicted frame generating step for the purpose of reducing compression artifacts (note c.19, I.50-53).

Regarding claim 2, the claim is rejected as applied to claim 1 wherein AAPA further discloses spatial filtering step (note page 1, line 30 of the instant specification under background of the invention).

Regarding claim 3, the claim is rejected as applied to claim 1 wherein one skilled in the art would further recognize that the steps above are performed in an encoding device (see Fig.3).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wells US 7,068,722 B2 discloses implementing a temporal filter to reduce noise and remove background graininess in images.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,424 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Ahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sam K. Ahn/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611

5/8/2010